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Effect of the PEN/C surface layer modification on the microstructure, mechanical and tribological properties of the 30CrMnSiA mild-carbon steel
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ABSTRACT

As result of plasma-electrolytic nitrocarburizing 30CrMnSiA carbon steel (ferrite-perlite grade), there was a change in the elemental and phase composition, as well as the surface layer microstructure (40 ÷ 45 microns thick from the surface). A formation of $\text{Me}_23(\text{CN})_6$ carbonitrides, FeN nitrides, Fe$_3$C - (Fe,Cr)$_3$C carbides and an increase in dislocation density within α-phase (tempered martensite crystallites), high-temperature lamellar martensite were observed. As a result of PEN / C exposure for 7 min. at 750 °C there is a reduction of friction coefficient and wear rate, what is connected with finely dispersed secondary phases FeN, (Fe, Cr)$_3$C, $\text{Me}_23(\text{C}, N)_6$ formation. Thus there is an $2.5 \div 3.3$ times increase in hardness of 30CrMnSiA carbon steel samples.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Electrolyte-plasma processing of metals and alloys is widely used in a semi-industrial scale [1–12]. However, the widespread introduction of all processing types such as electrolyte-plasma oxidation of Ti, Zr, Mg, Al alloys, nitro-

gen / carbon saturation, thermal quenching and cooling, etc. [13–16], gets difficult due to low repeatability (normally around 95 ÷ 97.8%) whereas the customer requires all 99.99% reproducible processes [17].

Due to its simplicity, plasma electrolytic deposition (PED) processes successfully compete in cost with PVD coatings and electro-galvanizing methods [18–20]. PED can be used to fabricate oxide layers with enhanced mechanical properties during plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) or to saturate materials with various alloying elements during plasma electrolytic
saturation (PES). PES processes strongly depend on mean current value (which determines the deposition rate), voltage amplitude of cathode or anode (accordingly to process), working electrolyte temperature and composition. There are also some practical difficulties mentioned precisely in the literature [22–24]. Therefore, mainly the scope of electrolytic plasma treatment (EPT) limited to technologies with reproducibility up to 100%.

EPT processing is one of the most promising and economically feasible techniques. Distinctive features of this method is controllability of the process, wide range of hardening (from several microns to mm), possibility of processing samples, products and working sites of any geometry [14,15]. Treatment of metal samples and products occurs in arc discharge plasma, burning in the active environment of chemical compounds, in particular the chemical-thermal method of the material surface treatment in electrolyte-plasma cathode regime [25–27].

High-energy impact, to which we can add electrolyte-plasma hardening can also be attributed, consists in high-speed heating to temperatures above critical ones by the plasma flow in the local portion of the sample surface layer and its rapid cooling, mainly due to heat conduction to internal volumes of the material, as well as heat transfer from the surface [21].

Thus, the purpose of this work is to study the elemental, phase composition of the surface layers and their microstructure of carbon steel ferrite-perlite class (CrMnSiFe) and their effect on hardness, wear rate and friction properties as a result of electrolytic plasma treated samples (in solid).

2. Materials and methods

The EPP-processed 30CrMnSiA high strength low alloy (HSLA) steel samples in the as-delivered conditions were used as the material of study (hardened at 880 °C and treated at 540 °C). Chemical composition of the steel is: C: 0.28–0.35%; Cr: 0.8–1.1%; Mn: 0.8–1.1%; Si: 0.9–1%; P: 0.025%; S: 0.025%, and Fe 96% (30CrMnSiA).

Fig. 1 illustrates a system used for PEN/C processing. A 30CrMnSiA steel specimen to be processed was mounted and clamped on the clamping mechanism. The supply of electrolyte in the dielectric reservoir (15 liters) was provided by a pump designed to work in aggressive environments. As power supply a powerful rectifier was used, giving a maximum output of 360 V / 60A. The main energy parameters of the plasma jet and movement dynamics of the workpiece were controlled by computer.

PES processing (nitrizing, boriding and nitrocaburizing) of 30CrMnSiA structural steel carried by means of the classical technological parameters of plasma-electrolytic modification in two regimes: the temperature was T = 750–950 °C (depending on the type of processing), and the processing duration: 3, 5, 7 min. In the first regime 1.10% of ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) and 10% of glycerine (C₃H₈(OH)₃) electrolyte solution was used and under regime 2: 10% of sodium chloride (NaCl) and 15% of urea ((NH₂)₂CO) electrolyte accordingly.

Structural-phase examination of 30CrMnSiA steel samples was conducted by the Otto von Guericke University (Magdeburg, Germany). Samples were examined by X-Ray structural analysis using X PertPRO diffractometer at monochromated CuKα-resonation, optical microscopy at NEOPHOT 21 and AXIOPHOT-2 machines, transmission electron diffraction microscopy on thin sheet sat JEOL-2100 electron microscope using goniometric devices at raising voltage 100 kV (TGASU, Tomsk, RF).

The microscope column working magnification was 100,000 times. To conduct research with spark cutting, the samples (size 30 × 30 × 8 mm³) were cut into thin sheets (foils) 0.2–0.3 mm thick. Cutted sheets were thinned chemically in the electrolyte of 90% hydrofluoric acid and 10% perhydrol, then by electrolyte in supersaturated solution of phosphoric acid, chrome anhydride at room temperature, operating voltage 20 V and 2–4 A/cm² current density.

The volume fractions of the phases were determined by images confirmed by micro-diffraction patterns and dark-field images taken in the reflexes of corresponding phases. To identify phases there were used the schemes of microdiffraction images calculated from the tabular values of lattice grids.

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using multifunctional general-purpose scanning electron microscope JEOL JSM-6010/A. In touch scope operated at 20 kV.

Mechanical microhardness tests were carried on the PMT-3M microhardness tester (St. Petersburg, Russia) after the nitrocaburizing process. A high-temperature tribometer (TNT, CSM Instruments, Switzerland) was used to determine the tribological characteristics. The wear test (wear rate, weight loss) was performed by using pin-on-disk test instrument.

According to the conducted metallographic studies of the 30CrMnSiA steel in the as-delivered conditions [28], it was found that α-phase of varying degrees of doping is the main phase component (matrix) of 30CrMnSiA steel regardless on the sample processing and the place of the study. In its initial state the 30CrMnSiA steel belongs to the ferrite-perlite class containing 65% of perlite grain and 35% of ferrite grain (20% of them are non-fragmented and 15% of fragmented ferrite). It has been established that morphologically α-phase presents in the form of non-fragmented and fragmented ferrite at the boundaries (with an average particle size of 32 nm, volume fraction in the material is 1%) and inside (average particle size 4 nm, volume fraction in the material is 0.5%) containing carbide particles M₆C₆; lamellar perlite in the form of
Fig. 2 – XRD patterns of 30CrMnSiA steel sample after high-temperature (T = 750 °C) plasma-electrolyte nitrocarburizing: a) 7 min, b) 5 min, c) 3 min.
interchanging parallel plates of ferrite (α-phase) and doped cementite (Cr up to 20%); tempered martensite in the form of mixed structure of lath martensite, low-and-high-temperature lamellar martensite.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structure and morphology

X-ray diffraction structure investigations of 30CrMnSiA steel carried out after nitrocarburizing has shown at Fig. 2. After modifying in the surface layer nitride FeN, carbide FeC, (Fe,Cr)N and carbonitride M23C6(C,N)6 phases are formed. Martensitic transformations are also noted along the boundaries of which residual austenite is present. Complex carbonitride phases were not detected. Perhaps their volume fraction does not exceed the detection limit of X-ray phase analysis. It is clearly how with increasing processing time (3, 5 and 7 min) carbides and nitrides of iron and alloying elements are formed.

Scanning electron microscopy analysis of fragments (Fig. 3) showed that after 30CrMnSiA steel samples electrolytic nitrocarburizing, there is a significant change in the morphology of the surface layer. Enrichment of ferrite with atomic carbon and nitrogen is observed, which contributes to the growth of carbide and nitride particles, as well as martensitic structures in the near-surface modified layer.

Steel nitrocarburizing, as noted above, led to substantial qualitative and quantitative changes in the structure. As the distance from the treated surface changes, such parameters as defect density, organization, size and type, as well as the concentration of alloying elements and impurities. All this eventually led to a change in the phase composition and to the phases formation which inherent in this structural type, as well as their morphology [29,30].

α-phase structure has undergone significant changes. Unlike the initial state, it is a mixture of three morphological components: batch (or rack) tempered low-temperature martensite and high-temperature lamella tempered martensite. Ferrite and perlite grains on nitrocarburized sample surface were not detected by primary analyses, but they still were observed with TEM studies of thin section (see below).

As we see from the Fig. 3a–c (Regime 1) during plasma electrolytic nitrocarburizing with an increase of the process duration, the dispersion of ferritic particle grains decreases. After 7 min a coarse-grained structure is observed, which is characterized by stability of carbide and nitride particles with diffusion of implanted atoms, which in turn are responsible for increasing surface hardness and wear resistance.

Sample surface microstructure under 2nd regime (Fig. 3d–f) markedly different from the obtained fragments microstructure obtained under regime 1 conditions. Here, the formation of martensitic phases is visually seen. The layer structure varies with changing the distance from the sample surface.

Fig. 4a, b shows SEM image of surface layer cross-section, obtained with a relatively small magnification. The surface layer is quite clearly divided into two separate zones (I and II), with carbides and carbonitrides distribution. The image shows a well-marked nitrocarburized surface layer, 30μm thick. Further, deep into the sample, the transition (intermediate) layer follows, then - the base metal layer (zone). The difference in the structure of the nitrocarburized layer and the changes in its structure with distance from the surface into the sample becomes even more noticeable with a larger magnification (Fig. 4b).

Since the phase composition of the upper-surface is the principal factor that determine mechanical and tribological properties of the modified sample, for its study was carried out Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of the 3 marked regions (Table 1). The increased diffusion of carbon and nitrogen along the grain boundaries in I and II zones due the surface activation by the discharge electric field influence, but this stimulation decreases with increasing depth of analysis.

In the first zone, a weak etching of the grain boundaries is observed. In 2nd zone, the grain boundaries are clearly iden-

**Table 1 – Results of elemental composition measurement defined by cross-sectional EDS from 3 different regions of nitrocarburized 30CrMnSiA steel surface.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>EDS 1</th>
<th>EDS 2</th>
<th>EDS 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wt %</td>
<td>At %</td>
<td>Wt %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 3 – SEM microstructures of the modified 30CrMnSiA steel after PEN/C treatment: regime 1 – a) 3 min., b) 5 min., c) 7 min., regime 2 – d) 3 min., e) 5 min., f) 7 min.](image1)

![Fig. 4 – Cross-sectional SEM images of nitrocarburized 30CrMnSiA steel structure a) in depth with indexed layer distribution, b) with higher magnification (EDS analysis carried in 3 marked regions).](image2)
Fig. 5 – Non-fragmented and fragmented ferrite in the initial state of 30CrMnSiA steel.

Fig. 6 – TEM image of 30CrMnSiA steel microstructure in its initial state.

Fig. 7 – TEM image of tempered lath martensite. Separating of \(\gamma\)-phase along the boundaries of the laths and \(\text{M}_{23}(\text{C},\text{N})_6\) carbonitride particles on the dislocations inside the laths. 30CrMnSiA steel is after nitrocarburizing. Surface of the sample is as follows: a — bright field image; b — dark field image; c — microdiffraction image; d — identified scheme.

The \(\alpha\)-phase structure was significantly changed. In contrast to its initial state, it presents a mixture of three morphological components: lath tempered martensite (Fig. 7), lamellar tempered low-temperature martensite (Fig. 8c) and lamellar tempered high-temperature martensite (Fig. 8b). There are no ferrite and perlite grains on the EPP-processed sample surface. As it was mentioned above, lath martensite is a structural formation, which featured with joined equally
Table 2 – Morphological components of α-phase in 30CrMnSiA steel after nitrocarburizing and quantitative share of γ-phase there (Electron microscopy data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological components of steel matrix</th>
<th>Volume share in steel matrix Pγ, (%)</th>
<th>Share of retained austenite in crystals α-phase, δ(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempered martensite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellar low-temperature martensite</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellar high-temperature martensite</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside of material</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 μm from the sample surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempered martensite</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellar low-temperature martensite</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellar high-temperature martensite</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside of material</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8 – Microstructure of the 30CrMnSiA steel sample surface after nitrocarburizing. LLM – lamellar low-temperature martensite; LHM – high-temperature martensite. Retained austenite (γ) at the boundaries of the lamellar (α) as twin-type colonies (b) and small regions (c). Cementite particles (c) indicated by arrows.

Fig. 9 – Coefficient of Friction (COF) against Sliding time dependence of the 30CrMnSiA steel samples: a) Initial, b) Nitriding, c) Nitrocarburizing.

oriented crystals of martensite - laths which form individual packages. The volume fraction of the martensite in steel matrix is 30% of the volume of steel matrix, Table 2. Lamellar martensite is like single martensite crystals (LLM), which are not grouped into packages [31]. This is a morphological component of the steel matrix and makes 20% of the volume of the steel matrix, Table 2. Lamellar high-temperature martensite (LHM) is some structurally identical regions without clearly defined boundaries. This is the main morphological component of the steel matrix which makes about 50% of the volume of steel matrix, Table 2.

The γ-phase presents in the form of retained austenite and it is located either as interlayers along the boundaries of martensite laths (Fig. 7) and lamellars (Fig. 8a) or inside of the martensite lamellars in the form of twin-type colonies (Fig. 8b), or small regions (Fig. 8c). Table 2 provides data on volume fraction of γ-phase in various morphological components of α-phase.

Nitrocarburizing resulted in carbide subsystem changes of steel-cementite that presents inside the crystals of tempered martensite (Fig. 6c). The particles are acicular form with different size and distribution density in any morphological components of α-phase. However, the volume fraction of cementite in each morphological component of the α-phase does not exceed 0.4-0.5% from total volume of material.

Such carbonitrides as M₂(C,N)₀.₆₁₅₉, M₄(C,N), M₇(C,N)₃ and M₉₁₂(C,N)₆ are generated on the sample surface in all morphological components of α-phase after nitrocarburizing.

Steel nitrocarburizing caused a formation of two more carbonitride types: M₄(C, N) and M₇(C, N)₃ on the sample surface as it was noted above. It was found that some nanoparticles (5-8 nm) of carbonitride M₄(C,N) are formed on the interfacial surfaces "Carbonitride M₄(C,N) - α-phase" with average size of 0.2 μm.

A decrease of dislocations density along the sample surface (Table 3) responds to indicate the manifestation of diffuse shear deformation during saturation process that also affects hardening of the material.

3.2. Tribological and mechanical properties

At Figs. 9-11 (Table 4) the experimental data from tribological tests on modified 30CrMnSiA steel samples by different
Table 3 – Morphological components of α-phase in 30CrMnSiA steel after nitrocarburizing and quantitative characteristics of dislocation structure (Electron microscopy data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample surface</th>
<th>Morphological components</th>
<th>Volume share in steel matrix P, (%)</th>
<th>Dislocation density, ρ×10⁻¹⁰ (cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Excess of dislocation density, ρ×10⁻¹⁰ (cm⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tempered martensite</td>
<td>Lath martensite</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamellar low-temperature martensite</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamellar high-temperature martensite</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside of material</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 μm from the sample surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempered martensite</td>
<td>Lath martensite</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamellar low-temperature martensite</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lamellar high-temperature martensite</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside of material</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Results of tribological testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Type of electrolytic treatment</th>
<th>Wear rate (mm²/N)/mm,</th>
<th>Coefficient of friction, μ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Initial state</td>
<td>0.00071</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nitriding 750 °C, 7 minutes</td>
<td>0.000164</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Boriding 900 °C, 7 minutes</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nitrocarburizing 750 °C, 6 minutes</td>
<td>0.00008106</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10 – Wear rate behavior (diagram) of 30CrMnSiA steel samples under different plasma electrolytic treatment.

According to the Table 4 wear rate of the 30CrMnSiA steel samples compared to the initial state varies with different dynamics. A noticeable decrease in the wear rate can be seen for samples obtained after nitrocarburizing at T = 750 °C for 6 min at 8.7 times, nitriding at T = 850 °C for 7 min at 4.3 times, boriding at T = 950 °C during 7 min at 7.1 times accordingly. According to Table 4, we plotted the experimental data on wear resistance (Fig. 10) and the friction coefficient (Fig. 11) of all treated 30CrMnSiA steel samples. Fig. 12 shows micrographs of the 30CrMnSiA steel upper-surface after the tribological tests. Based on the nature of the surface damage trace, it can be concluded that samples obtained during nitrocarburizing treatment acquire a higher wear resistance.
The results of experimental work on the modified surface microhardness determination carried on the microsection of 30CrMnSiA steel, after the PEN/C process has shown at Figs. 13 and 14.

From the obtained experimental data about the modified surface layers microhardness determination, an already established regularity can be observed: with increasing hardness of surface, the degree of doping of the material also increases [32], on the basis of which lies the choice of the optimal mode. However, in world practice [33–35] the opposite concept also exists: not all wear-resistant materials have a high surface hardness [36,37]. Nevertheless, according to our research on the microhardness and wear resistance determination, the following regularities were established: with an increase in the wear resistance of the 30CrMnSiA steel samples surface during plasma electrolytic saturation (PES): nitriding, boriding and nitrocarburizing a significant increase in microhardness has been observed. Samples processed in nitrogen-containing electrolytes showed increase in microhardness by an order of magnitude, which is most likely due to the formation of finely dispersed nitrides on modified layers. The maximum microhardness value is a nitrocarburized 30CrMnSiA steel sample at regime 1 which is equal to 8.9 GPa, and the surface microhardness after processing at regime 2 is 3.2 GPa (Figs. 13 and 14), which corresponds to the minimum microhardness value.

Analyzing the experimental data, it can be seen that with hardness increase (Fig. 13), the resistance to wear (Fig. 10) also increases, however, this pattern does not appear in all cases during the nitrocarburizing process [30].

All changes in mechanical (hardness) and tribological (friction coefficient, wear rate) properties are associated within the formation of the secondary phases: nitrides, carbides, carbonitrides, iron borides and alloying elements. On the other hand, changing the temperature, electrolyte composition, current and potential (actual processing parameters) can also affect the microstructure, uniformity and degree of alloying of the material, which consequently contributes to the steel samples modification.

4. Conclusion

1) Samples obtained during plasma-electrolytic processing of 30CrMnSiA mild-carbon steel surface demonstrate 2.5 times increase in microhardness and 4 times increase in wear resistance;
2) Found out that the ferrite-pearlite structure of the steel matrix is modified according to the method of electrolytic saturation (PES);
3) Upon nitrogen, boron and carbon saturation for 3, 5, 7 min and working temperature $T = 750–950 \, ^\circ C$ followed by quenching in electrolyte, a modified layer (average thickness 30 $\mu$m) is formed, that includes nitride FeN, carbide FeC, (Fe, C)$_2$C and complex carbonitride phases.
4) Revealed, that hardening of 30CrMnSiA structural steel occurs in low-temperature plasma conditions, due to the formation of carbides, carbonitrides, and alloying elements, that enhance performance at effective surface modification until the heat affected zone (hardening depth 45 $\mu$m).
5) Upon nitrocarburizing (regime 1) at 750 $^\circ C$, 3–7 minutes followed by quenching in the electrolyte containing an...
aqueous solution of 10% (NH₄Cl) +10% (NaCl) and 15% ((NH₂)₂CO), surface microhardness increases by 3.3 times, wear resistance increases by 8.7 times depending on the processing type of the initial sample.
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