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The collecting performance and interaction mechanism of sodium diisobutyl dithiophosphinate in sulfide minerals flotation
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A B S T R A C T

The interaction mechanism of sodium diisobutyl dithiophosphinate (DTPINa) with chalcopyrite, pyrite, galena and sphalerite was investigated by single mineral flotation experiment, adsorption measurement and FTIR spectrum analysis. Single mineral flotation experiments showed that sodium diisobutyl dithiophosphinate exhibited a strong ability to collect chalcopyrite and galena. For chalcopyrite and pyrite, the recovery of chalcopyrite can reach 96.2% when the dosage is 12 mg/L and pH value is 8. In the same situation, the recovery of pyrite is as low as 13.5%. For galena and sphalerite, the recovery of galena reached 91.7% when the dosage was 50 mg/L at pH 11, and the recovery of sphalerite was only 16.9%. DTPINa adsorbed on chalcopyrite and galena surfaces are more than that on pyrite and sphalerite surfaces. The adsorption capacity of DTPINa on the minerals surface is proportional to its dosage. The FTIR spectrum analysis results showed that the adsorption of DTPINa on sulfide minerals surface is chemical and S atoms in —P=S and —P—S may have taken part in the reaction. The natural ores experiments also confirmed the excellent performance of sodium diisobutyl dithiophosphinate.

© 2014 Brazilian Metallurgical, Materials and Mining Association. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Chalcopyrite is the major and most abundant commercial source of copper [1]. It is often accompanied with pyrite, which is the most widespread naturally occurring metal sulfides. The floatability of pyrite is quite similar to chalcopyrite [2,3]. Pyrite in copper concentrates will not only lower their quality but also increase the economic and environmental costs in the subsequent smelting process [4]. The collecting ability of traditional sulfides collectors is very strong but their selectivity is poor; thus, usually the ideal copper–sulfur separation could not be realized by these collectors. The copper released from chalcopyrite will activate pyrite and increase the difficulty for the separation of chalcopyrite and pyrite as well [5,6]. There are five common copper–sulfur separation processes, which are known as high pH process, inorganic inhibitors process, organic inhibitors process, electrochemical controlling process and cyanide process [7]. High pH value process is the most widely used process [8,9]. Even though this process could get prefect flotation and separation result, a large amount of lime must be consumed and the recovery and grade of copper
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concentrate will be lowered. Meanwhile, this method often brings difficulties of activating highly depressed pyrite, environmental pollution and high depression of noble metal such as Au, Ag and Mo. What is more, the floatability of chalcopyrite will be decreased because of the formation of hydrogen bond [10]. Consequently, it has aroused wide concern that the research of reagents can realize copper–sulfur separation at acidic and neutral pH [11,12], and a series of novel reagents were prepared, some of which appeared good performance in laboratorial and industrial practice [13–17].

Some researchers found an effective method to separate pyrite from chalcopyrite. In their research, BKY-1 was used as depressant in a wide range of pH. The following researches showed that the difference in interaction energy contributes to the selective depression. So xanthate could be used as the collector to float chalcopyrite selectively [18]. Peng used a specially designed mill, which could control the pH throughout the grinding process to investigate the influence of grinding conditions on the flotation of chalcopyrite and copper–sulfur separation. It turned out that iron oxidation species from grinding media played a key role in depressing the floatability of chalcopyrite. The floatability of pyrite was significantly improved after grinding with mild steel medium. 30 wt% chromium medium will increase chalcopyrite floatability as well as decrease the pyrite floatability to strengthen the copper–sulfur separation effects [19]. Martin demonstrated that nitrogen conditioning could enhance the floatability of pyrite because of galvanic interaction. But this effect could be reversed by raising the pulp pH or introducing air. This phenomenon could be used to separate pyrite from some other sulfide ores with air [20]. The depressing ability of small polymers with various substituted functional groups such as carboxyl, sulfonate, hydroxyl and thiourea was researched. The results showed that hydroxy was the best depressing ability for pyrite depression and carboxyl had the best mineral selectivity. Their depressing ability in pyrite was strong because of the reaction with ferric ions/hydroxides, but they had no or little depression ability for sphalerite and copper activated sphalerite [21]. Mitchell proposed that heterocoagulation with different minerals was an effective way to selectively separate minerals involved. They discovered that pyrite had a positive zeta potential (ζ) up to its isoelectric point (IEP) at pH about 2.2, while chalcopyrite has a positive ζ up to its IEP at pH about 5.5 and the heterocoagulation of chalcopyrite with pyrite will happen between pH 2.2 and pH 5.5; thus it, is impossible to separate these two minerals. Flotation tests showed that at pH = 10 thionocarbamate had good selective flotation of chalcopyrite from pyrite [22]. It was revealed that pulp oxidation potential (Eh) and zinc sulfate played an important role in copper-activated pyrite recovery. At pH 9.0 the maximal recovery of chalcopyrite and pyrite realized when the Eh was 275 and 135 mV, respectively. The maximal separation effect achieved at 275 mV and the addition of zinc sulfate could increase the mineral separation by selectively depressing pyrite flotation because large amount of ferric hydroxide groups formed on the pyrite surface, while at a lower Eh, such as 38 mV, the addition of zinc sulfate would improve flotation adversely because of the large increase of Eh. So zinc sulfate is not an effective mineral depressant at lower Eh values [23]. Chen investigated the combination of sodium humate and lime in a low pH medium. By adding sodium humate to the pulp and adjusting the pH to 10–10.5, the recovery of Cu and combined Au, Ag and Mo in the copper concentrate was enhanced due to the reduced pH of the pulp. The following research showed that sodium humate was chemically adsorbed on the surface of pyrite and there was a strong hydrophilic interaction between sodium humate and the surface of pyrite [24].

For galena and sphalerite separation, there are two commonest processes, which are known as high pH process and inorganic inhibitors process. High pH process has significant disadvantages, such as decreasing the recovery of associated rare and precious metals and causing pipe blockage. Zinc sulfate is the commonly used inhibitor; its exorbitant price is the biggest drawback. Thus, the development of cheap inhibitors has aroused concerns. Rath investigated the influence of guar gum on the separation of galena and sphalerite by flotation. It was found out that at the pH 7.5 and 11.5 sphalerite and galena could get their maximum adsorption capacity, respectively. After the addition of guar gum, the recovery of galena was less than 20%, while the recovery of sphalerite did not have obvious change. Thus, it was possible to use guar gum as a depressant for galena [25].

DTPINa is the main efficient ingredient of Aeropine 3418A, and it is mainly recommended to float copper and lead sulfide ores compared with rare and noble metal [26]. Some researchers had investigated the interaction mechanism of DTPINa for its excellent flotation performance. Pantadosi used TOF-SIMS method to investigate the amount of DTPINa adsorbed onto the surface of galena and pyrite. The result showed that the affinity of DTPINa on galena was much higher than that onto pyrite and the presence of hydrophobic DTPINa and PbDTPINa were the key factors for flotation [27]. The inaction mechanism of DTPINa was investigated with activated and inactivated galena and pyrite. The research demonstrated that DTPINa was adsorbed onto galena and pyrite surface by a chemical absorption way and DTPINa displayed a strong affinity toward galena. The floatability of pyrite will be enhanced if it was activated by Pb-ions [28–30]. Güler investigated the influence of pH on the flotation for chalcopyrite through electrochemical control method. According to his research, slight oxidation was essential for a hydrophobic chalcopyrite surface; the increase of pH would result in excess oxidation and then decrease the floatability of chalcopyrite [31]. Liu used density functional theory (DFT) calculations to investigate the structure-reactivity relationship of disobutyl dithiophosphate (DIBDTPA), disobutyl dithiophosphate (DIBDTPF), disobutyl monothiophosphate (DIBMTPA) and disobutyl monothiophosphinite (DIBMTPF) which are all used as sulfide minerals collectors. It showed that O atom(s) in the regents could significantly affect S atom(s), which made a critical difference in the flotation performance. Among the four collectors, DIBDTPF had the strongest reactive power to mineral surface and the ionized thiophosphorus collectors exhibited the strongest affinity to mineral surfaces in aqueous phase [32].

In this study, the collecting abilities and interaction mechanism of DTPINa with chalcopyrite, pyrite, galena and sphalerite were investigated through flotation experiments, adsorption capacity measurements and FTIR spectrum.
analysis. It was considered that DTPINa has a stronger collecting ability and better selectivity, compared with traditional sulfide collectors. Therefore, copper–sulfur and lead–zinc separation could be realized at neutral or weak alkaline pulp.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and minerals

Hand-picked chalcopyrite and pyrite were obtained from Tonglushan Copper Mine of Hubei Province and Yunnfu Mine of Guangdong Province, China, respectively. Galena and sphalerite ores were obtained from Huangshaping Lead–zinc Mine of Hunan Province. Each kind of pure mineral was porcelain ground to a diameter between 0.032 and 0.074 mm. After rinsing with de-aerated water, the minerals were dried in vacuum drying oven for pure mineral flotation experiments. Chemical analysis of the four mineral samples indicated that their purities were 90.31% (chalcopyrite), 94.89% (pyrite), 93.34% (galena) and 94.56% (sphalerite), respectively.

The copper–sulfur natural ore samples were obtained from Sizhou Mine from Jiangxi Province (China), and the chemical composition analysis results are listed in Table 1 and the results of copper phase analysis are listed in Table 2. The lead–zinc natural ore samples were obtained from Guangxi Province (China). The chemical composition analysis results are listed in Table 3 and the X-ray fluorescence spectrometry analysis is listed in Table 4. The purity of collector sodium-diisobutyl dithiophosphinate (DTPINa) was as high as 99.77%, which was qualified for the following tests [29]. Butyl xanthate ethylthionocarbamate (Z-200), Ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and Sodium diethylthiocarbamate were obtained from Zhuzhou Flotation Reagents Company. Methyl isobutyl carbinol (MIBC) was chosen as frother. Solutions of HCl and NaOH were used to adjust the pH of the pulp. Calcium oxide, cupric chloride dehydrates, ferrous chloride, lead chloride and zinc chloride reagents were used in the experiments. All the reagents, except collectors and frother, were analytical grade products and distilled water was used in all monomineral tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Chemical composition analysis results of copper–sulfur natural ore.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>Cu (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Copper phase analysis in copper–sulfur natural ore.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Copper sulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content (%)</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution (%)</td>
<td>86.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Chemical composition analysis results of lead–zinc natural ore.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>Pb (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>8.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Flotation tests

Flotation tests were carried out with a XFG5-35 flotation machine with 40 mL effective cell volume and the impeller speed fixed at 1650 r/min. 2.0 g pure mineral was put into a 100.0 mL breaker with 40.0 mL distilled water to clean the surface for 10 min to wipe off the attached oxide by using ultrasonic cleaner. The treated mineral was washed with distilled water for three times and then transferred into the flotation cell. The pulp pH was adjusted with HCl or NaOH aqueous solution and then agitated for 2 min. After adding the desired amount of reagents, the suspension was agitated for 2 min, and the frother was last added before flotation. The flotation process was conducted for 5 min. The products and tails were weighed separately after filtration and dried and the recovery was calculated.

The specific flotation process was shown in Fig. 1 and the recovery was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
R = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \times 100\%
\]

where R represents the pure minerals’ recovery; \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) are the weight of froth products and products in the cell, respectively.

2.3. Natural ore samples experiments

The copper–sulfur and lead–zinc ores were crushed to 2.0 mm before samples were ground to 65.0% passing 0.074 mm in a closed stainless steel XMQ-φ240 × 90 ball mill at a pulp density of 62.5% by weight. To depress the pyrite and sphalerite, 1200 g/t and 6000 g/t lime were put into the mill while grinding and the pulp pH will be adjusted to 8 and 11 respectively. During the flotation the milled ores were transferred into a 3.0 L XFG single-trough flotation cell. The reagents were added into the flotation cell in sequence of collector and frother with a containing time of 2 and 1 min. The time of rough
flotation was controlled as 5 min. The distilled process is shown in Fig. 2. The rougher concentrates and tailings were filtered, dried, weighed, sampled and assayed for copper and tap water used in the test.

2.4. Adsorption experiments

2000 g pure minerals were added into a ground Erlenmeyer with specific composition and then dissolved to 40.0 ml with distilled water. The solution pH was regulated before vibrating at room temperature for 120 min. The upper clear liquid was centrifuged and then transferred into a 100.0 ml volumetric flask. The supernatant was analyzed by Total Organic Carbon Analyser (TOC-VCPH) to calculate the adsorption capacity.

2.5. FTIR-spectrum measurements

The DTPIna solution was slowly added into metal ions (Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Pb²⁺ and Zn²⁺) solutions of 10⁻² mol/L in weak acid medium until no more precipitate was generated to prepare metal-collector complexes. The compounds were washed by distilled water for three times to wipe off redundant collector and metal ions attached on the compounds surface and dried at room temperature in a vacuum drying oven for 48 h. The IR spectra of metal-collector complexes were obtained by the using of a FTIR Avatar model 360 from Nicolet DIR Nicolet accessory (Nicolet spectrometer, AVATAR360, USA), and the dry KBr particles were used as the background.

Diffuse reflectance infrared spectroscopy (DIR) was used to characterize the surface species on the mineral particles treated. Samples were ground to be less than 5 μm and were prepared in the same way used in micro-flotation tests. The spectrum was obtained with the same instrument and presented without any baseline correction.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Pure mineral flotation tests

3.1.1. Flotation recovery of pure minerals as a function of pH values

High alkaline process is often applied for traditional collectors in copper–sulfur separation process, but it will decrease the recovery of associated rare and precious metals and cause environmental pollution as well. Thus, it is essential to investigate the influence of pH on the floatability. In this paper butyl xanthate, Z-200 and DTPIna were used as collectors with the dosage of 10.0 mg/L. MIBC was used as frother (7.5 mg/L). The results are displayed in Fig. 3a and b.

As shown in Fig. 3a and b, along with the increase of pH, the floatability by the three collectors will decrease. For chalcopyrite, DTPIna had very strong collecting ability, its recovery was higher than 90.0% at all pH values from 2 to 13 and the highest recovery reached 98.6%. In the same dosage, the recovery by Butyl xanthate and Z-200 was less; especially in high pH situation, their recovery would decrease sharply. The three collectors had a similar collecting ability in pyrite; in acid environment the recovery was relatively high. Among them DTPIna was the most sensitive to pH, the recovery would decrease most quickly in alkaline environment. When the pH was higher than 8, the recovery would be less than 10.0%, and the difference of recovery between chalcopyrite and pyrite could reach 81.8%, it was ready to realize copper–sulfur separation. The results showed that in DTPIna pH had a weak influence on the recovery of chalcopyrite, while pyrite was quite sensitive to pH and the recovery would be depressed to a very low level. DTPIna could be used to separate copper–sulfur in weak alkaline environment.

The flotation recovery of galena or sphalerite as a function of pH values under 20.0 mg/L DTPIna, ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate is shown in Fig. 3d and c, where it could be seen that using DTPIna as collector galena exhibited the best collecting ability. And the recovery was higher than ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate over the
whole pH range. In sphalerite, all the three collectors achieved excellent recoveries in acid environment and DTPINa the highest recovery, while the recovery by DTPINa was the lowest in alkaline pulp.

3.1.2. **Effect of dosage on floatability of minerals**
The pH was adjusted to 8 with sodium hydroxide solution to investigate the collecting ability of DTPINa, butyl xanthate and Z-200 and the results were presented in Fig. 4a and b. From the figure, the recovery of chalcopyrite and pyrite was both increased with the increasing of collectors’ dosage. For chalcopyrite, DTPINa achieved a higher recovery than butyl xanthate and Z-200 in the same dosage and the recovery would reach the balance in a small dosage. The recovery of chalcopyrite could reach 96.2% in the dosage of 12.0 mg/L; meanwhile, the recovery of pyrite was as low as 13.7% and the difference reached up to 82.5%. For pyrite, in the same dosage, the recovery by DTPINa was less than butyl xanthate and Z-200 and the recovery would reach the maximum value of 15.2%. The results suggested that DTPINa had the strongest collecting ability and the best selectivity among the three collectors, and copper–sulfur separation could be realized in weak alkaline environment.

The effect of dosage on galena and sphalerite flotation recoveries of the three collectors is shown in Fig. 4c and d. In galena flotation the pulp pH of DTPINa, ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate was adjusted to 11. The results indicated that with increasing concentration of collectors, the flotation recoveries increased. When DTPINa concentration was greater than 50.0 mg/L, the recovery reached its maximum (91.7%). In the same dosage, the recovery by DTPINa was absolutely higher compared with ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate. In sphalerite, the pH was adjusted to 11. From the figure, we know that the recovery by DTPINa was highest in sphalerite and the lead–zinc separation could be realized.

3.2. **Natural ore flotation experiments**
Butyl xanthate, Z-200 and DTPINa were used as copper sulfide collectors. Ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate, Sodium diethyldithiocarbamate and DTPINa were used as lead sulfide collectors, respectively, to contrast their flotation ability (Tables 5 and 6). When Butyl xanthate, Z-200, ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate were used as collectors, MBC was used as frother. The table indicated that DTPINa exhibited stronger foaming ability and preferable collecting efficiency without extra frother.

The tables presented the grade and recovery of Cu and Pb by using different collectors. As it could be seen, the highest
Fig. 4 – Effect of dosage on floatability of minerals.

### Table 5 – Copper–sulfur ore flotation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Dosage (g/t)</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Grade (%)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>10.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl xanthate + MIBC</td>
<td>50 + 32</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>84.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>15.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-200 + MIBC</td>
<td>50 + 32</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>82.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>95.56</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>17.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTPINa</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>91.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>94.08</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTPINa</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>88.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>95.47</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 – Lead–zinc ore flotation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Dosage (g/t)</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Grade (%)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>30.27</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>97.43</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>91.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate + MIBC</td>
<td>30 + 24</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>62.20</td>
<td>89.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>86.75</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium diethyldithiocarbamate + MIBC</td>
<td>30 + 24</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>66.42</td>
<td>87.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>87.85</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTPINa</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>67.89</td>
<td>94.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>87.18</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTPINa</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>71.01</td>
<td>91.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>88.12</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>8.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raw ore</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cu and Pb grade and recovery were achieved by using the collector DTPINa in a less dosage of collector without the using of frother. The tests indicated that DTPINa had the strongest flotation ability and the best selectivity in copper sulfides and lead sulfides compared with the common collectors.

3.3. The interaction mechanism of minerals and DTPINa

3.3.1. Adsorption analysis

The dosage of collector DTPINa was fixed in $2.62 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L to investigate the influence of pH on the adsorbing capacity on

Fig. 5 – The influence of pH on adsorption capacity.

![Fig. 5 – The influence of pH on adsorption capacity.](image)

Fig. 6 – The influence of dosage on adsorption capacity.

![Fig. 6 – The influence of dosage on adsorption capacity.](image)
the surface of minerals, and the results were shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 5a showed that the collector absorbed on the chalcopyrite surface was enormous compared with that on pyrite. What is more, the absorption capacity of chalcopyrite almost had nothing to do with the pH of pulp. In acid environment, the collector absorbed on the pyrite surface was not much lesser than that on chalcopyrite surface; however, in alkaline environment the collector absorbed on the pyrite surface would decreased rapidly. When the pH value was higher than 8 the pyrite adsorption capacity was down to $5 \times 10^{-6}$ mol/g; while in the same situation, the chalcopyrite adsorption capacity was higher than $4.0 \times 10^{-6}$ mol/g. The experiment indicated that DTPINa was apt to absorb on the chalcopyrite surface and pulp pH value had an obvious influence on pyrite absorption capacity; in alkaline environment, the absorption capacity difference between chalcopyrite and pyrite was tremendous. For galena and sphalerite, in acid pulp the absorption capacity is similar, while in alkaline pulp the difference will become bigger and bigger. It suggested that in alkaline pulp DTPINa preferred to react with galena.

The relationship between adsorption capacity and collector’s concentration in chalcopyrite and pyrite was researched at the pulp pH 8. The results were displayed in Fig. 6a. It was discovered from the figure that with the enlargement of collector’s concentration the collector adsorbed on both minerals’ surfaces would increase correspondingly. The absorption capacity on chalcopyrite surface was throughout larger than that on pyrite surface and this tendency will become larger and larger due to the increasing of collector concentration. This test indicated that in weak alkaline situation collector was easier to absorb on chalcopyrite surface, and the collector adsorbed on pyrite surface was quite small. Adsorption capacity test was in accordance with flotation test.

At pH 11, the adsorption of DTPINa on galena and sphalerite surfaces as a function of its initial concentration is shown in Fig. 6b. The adsorption amounts of DTPINa on these two minerals increased with the increase of DTPINa concentration. The absorbability of the two minerals in DTPINa was followed in the order: galena > sphalerite. The adsorption results were consistent with the flotation results mentioned above.

3.3.2. Infrared spectrum analysis
The infrared spectrums of DTPINa, DTPINa + Cu$^{2+}$, DTPINa + Fe$^{3+}$, DTPINa + Pb$^{2+}$, DTPINa + Zn$^{2+}$, chalcopyrite, pyrite, galena, sphalerite, DTPINa + chalcopyrite, DTPINa + pyrite, DTPINa + galena, and DTPINa + sphalerite are presented in Figs. 7 and 8. As was observed from Fig. 7, the peaks identified on DTPINa sample show that the $-\text{CH}_2$ and $-\text{CH}_3$—stretching vibration peak was located at 2956.75 and

![Image](image_url)
2870.13 cm⁻¹; 2721.47 cm⁻¹ comes from −Sn stretching vibration; the −C(\(\text{CH}_3\))₂ stretching vibration was corresponding to 1398.94 cm⁻¹; peak at 707.99, 596.12, and 490.90 cm⁻¹ belonged to −P−C stretching vibration, −P=S stretching vibration and −P−Sn stretching vibration, respectively.

The infrared spectra of synthesized metal-collector compounds are presented in Fig. 7. The figure showed that the spectra of the metal-collector compounds were similar to that of DPINa except for the following small changes. After reacting with Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Pb²⁺ and Zn²⁺ the characterized peak of −Sn in DPINa at around 2721 cm⁻¹ was almost lost, it revealed that −Sn may take part in the reaction with these ions. The stretching vibration peak of −CH₃, −CH₂— at around 2956 cm⁻¹ had faint transformation. The bending vibration of −C(\(\text{CH}_3\))₂ at 1398 cm⁻¹ had shifted to 1396, 1387, 1401 and 1399 cm⁻¹ respectively. The stretching vibration peak of −P−C at 708 cm⁻¹ had offset to 705, 716, 715 and 710 cm⁻¹ separately. The strong peak at 596 cm⁻¹ in the DPINa spectrum due to the −Sn vibration was shifted to 579, 594, 584 and 612 cm⁻¹. The stretching vibration peak of −P−S at 491 cm⁻¹ had offset to 496, 487, 518 and 480 cm⁻¹. All the changes suggested that the S atoms in −Sn and −Sn may have taken part in the reaction with these ions.

The diffuse reflectance infrared spectra for the four minerals before and after adsorption of DPINa are recorded in Fig. 8. After interaction with DPINa the characteristic peaks for pure chalcopyrite become weak and the characteristic peak (1396.18 cm⁻¹) of DPINa appears. It also could be obviously seen that the −P−C stretching vibration peak shifted from 707.99 to 690.34 cm⁻¹ and −P−S stretching vibration peak shifted from 490.90 to 508.82 cm⁻¹. All these indicate that chemical adsorption had occurred when DPINa was adsorbed onto chalcopyrite surface and hydrophobic Cu (DPINa₂) and (DTPI)₂ may be generated [33,34]. After interaction with DPINa, the −C(\(\text{CH}_3\))₂, −P−C and −P−S vibrations at around 1398, 681 and 507 cm⁻¹, respectively, appeared on galena surface, which inferred that DPINa might initially adsorb on chalcopyrite and galena surfaces by chemisorptions. While for pyrite and sphalerite, Fig. 8 demonstrated that no new characteristic adsorption peaks appeared on their surfaces, which may be caused by the equipment limitations or the weak characterized peak of DPINa.

### 4. Conclusions

Pure mineral tests made it clear that DPINa had very strong collecting ability to chalcopyrite that was little affected by the pulp pH. DPINa had better selectivity to chalcopyrite and the recovery of pyrite would drop to be less than 5.0% when the
pulp pH was higher than 8 and the copper–sulfur separation could be realized in this situation.

For galena and sphalerite pure minerals, high floatability was achieved by DTPiNa as well. DTPiNa exhibited stronger collecting ability and better selectivity than ammonium dibutyl dithiophosphate and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate. At pH value 11 the lead–zinc separation could be realized.

Adsorption test showed that DTPiNa preferred to be adsorbed on the chalcopyrite and galena surfaces and was far more than that absorbed onto pyrite and sphalerite surfaces, especially in alkaline pulp. The FTIR test revealed that S atoms in —P=S and —P—S may have taken part in the reaction with Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Pb²⁺ and Zn²⁺ to produce metal-collector complexes. After interacting with DTPiNa, the infrared spectrum of chalcopyrite and galena changed greatly and the characteristic adsorption peak of DTPiNa was raised, while the infrared spectrum of pyrite and sphalerite had no obvious change compared with the treated pyrite and sphalerite. The adsorption test and FTIR spectrum analysis indicated that it was chemical absorption when DTPiNa was adsorbed on the mineral surfaces.

The natural ore mineral experiments demonstrated that DTPiNa had a stronger collecting ability and better selectivity for copper sulfide ores and lead–zinc sulfide ores than common collectors.
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